

January 8, 1976

PRESIDENT: The question is the adoption of the committee amendment to the bill ... to 431. Record your vote. Record.

CLERK: 31 ayes, 1 nay, 17 not voting.

PRESIDENT: The amendment is adopted. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I'm just going to say the routine. I move that LB 431, as amended, be advanced to E & R initial.

PRESIDENT: Any discussion? Senator Barnett.

SENATOR BARNETT: ... Senator Chambers is all. I notice on this bill that there's no dollar amount as to what a person has to turn into a pawnbroker. Like would he have to have his picture taken for a \$15 item, as well as a \$100 item? Shouldn't there possibly be

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No, Senator Barnett. I don't think so. I think anybody who has a transaction with a pawnbroker ... there should be one procedure for everybody who comes in and that would be it. Then no slip-ups occur, where-I thought it was something but it turned out to be something else, so I didn't take his picture. Like it's a 3 carat diamond ring and I thought it was costume jewelry so I didn't take it. So we're not requiring him to make a value judgement. The law is just going to say take the picture.

SENATOR BARNETT: Regardless?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

PRESIDENT: The question is the advancement of the bill. Record your vote. Record.

CLERK: 32 ayes, 0 nays, 17 not voting.

(Read title to LB 432.) There are committee amendments, Mr. President, by Senator Rasmussen's Government Committee.

PRESIDENT: Chair recognizes Senator Rasmussen.

SENATOR RASMUSSEN: Mr. Chairman, members of the body. LB 432, as originally submitted, was to make the sheriff appointed. Well this was unconstitutional. As the testimony proceeded for the day another factor arose. It is all in amendment form, and in substance that is the bill. I would ask for the adoption of the committee amendments. Senator Chambers will proceed to explain the different sections.

PRESIDENT: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman, members of the Legislature. The amendments do become the bill. No longer is it a provision to require the sheriff to be appointed rather than elected. I want that crystal clear, because that's what drew all the lawmen down to the hearing. They thought the sheriff would be appointed by the county board, rather than elected.